IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 7874 OF 2005

Hindustan Lever Ltd. ...Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra ...Respondents

.....

Shri.Prakash Naik, counsel for the Applicant

Shri. V.B. Konde Deshmukh, A.P.P. for State

.....

CORAM: A. M. KHANWILKAR, J.

DATED: 12TH DECEMBER, 2005

P.C.:-

1. Heard. The grounds raised before this Court can be effectively answered by the Revisional Court.

2. As alternative efficacious remedy is available to the Applicant by way of revision before the Sessions Court in view of the decision of this Court in the case of **V.K. Jain & Ors. Vs. Pratap V. Padode reported in 2005(3) Mh..L.J. 778,** no interference is warranted.

3. This Application is disposed of with liberty to

take recourse to remedy of revision, if so advised. All questions are left open.

4. The Applicant to file such Revision Application within four weeks from today. Till such time, no precipitative action be taken against the Applicant. Revision Application, if filed within four weeks from today, be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

5. In case, there is any delay in filing Revision Application, Court will take into account the fact that the Applicant had first approached this Court, which period may be excused in computing delay.

6. Application is disposed of on the above terms.

*****